

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.isplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,631	06/20/2001	John D. Olivas	NPO-20148-2-CU	9127	
. 7.	590 02/27/2003				
John H. Kusmiss NASA Management Office - JPL 4800 Oak Grove Drive, M/S 180-801			EXAMINER		
			DIAZ, JOSE R		
Pasadena, CA 91109-8099			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815	2815	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/888,631	OLIVAS, JOHN D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		José R Díaz	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Paragraphy to a second series () 51 december 1	•••				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u>					
		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) $6-12$ is/are pending in the application.					
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)⊠ Tr	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)[] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2.	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	n No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/888,631

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: please update the information provided on page 1 after the title. For example, the phrase --, now patented-- should be included after "...filed on May 3, 1999".
 - Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

➤ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- > Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anonymous (RD 368034 A).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, the reference "RD 368034 A" teaches a MEMS device comprising a tunneling tip (30), a conductive surface (20) and a carbon based protective padding (10) comprising a film of fullerene C₆₀ having a thickness of one molecule or 1 nm (see Figs. 1 and 3).

Regarding claim 7, the reference "RD 368034 A" teaches a MEMS device comprising a diaphragm (substrate), a conductive surface (20) and one layer of fullerene C_{60} (10) (see Figs. 1 and 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,631

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 8-10, the reference "RD 368034 A" teaches that the conductive surface (20) includes gold (see Fig. 3). With regards to the to process steps describing how the gold layer is formed in the device, Applicant should noted that such limitations contain method of making characteristics given no patentable weight in determining patentability of the final device structure. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Regarding claim 11, the reference "RD 368034 A" teaches exposing the device to a gas (see "ADVANTAGE").

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are related to the present invention: Kats (US Pat. No. 5,876,790), Heinze et al. (US Pat. No. 5,334,351), and Colbert et al. (US 2002/0109087 A1).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,631

Art Unit: 2815

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 746-3891 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JRD February 23, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4